

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,242	12/10/2001	Alexander James Hinchliffe	01.130.01	9945	
75	7590 09/14/2005			EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			TIV, BACKHEAN		
P.O. Box 721120 San Jose, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2151	-	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edensions of the many be variable under the proximon of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  1 th Defended for reply in specified above, the maintainer statisticary period will apply and will expire SIX (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  2 Failus to reply within the said or edended period for reply will by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONEC (34 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than these mentions after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamled plantic man adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-30 Is/are pending in the application.  4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-30 Is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are as abject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * O: None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies o	1	•						
Examiner   Backhean Ttv   2151	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Backhean TiV 2151  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONSER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Statestics of the production of the state of th		10/006,242	HINCHLIFFE ET AL.					
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercision for many be available under the provision of 37 CPR 11360, in one event, however, may a reply be timely filled and the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication and the state of the communication. Pelainto reproduce the provision of 37 CPR 11360, in one event, however, may a reply be timely filled and the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduce the thin of the communication will upply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the Office later than three markets will be specified in the specified from the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the Office later than three markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the Office later than three markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the Office later than three markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the Communication of the markets and the markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the State and the markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainto reproduced by the second reproduced by the State and the markets are the markets are the mailing date of this communication. Pelainton reproduced by the State and the markets are the markets are the markets are the markets are the markets. Pelainton reproduced by the State and the markets are the marke	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Exhibition of time may be available under the proteitions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a raply be finally fill the communication of the may be available under the proteitions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a raply be finally fill the communication of the major and the communication of the protein of the communication of the protein of the communication of the protein of								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exemplose of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 11360. In an event, however, may a realy be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a vert the mailing date of this communication.  Failura to require which the seat or exemined period for regived by the years. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failura to require which the seat or exemined period for regived by the years. Seath are period to the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patent than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 August 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is finAt.  2ciam(s) 1-3g is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  2ciam(s) 1-3g is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  2ciam(s) 1-3g is/are rejected.  2ciam(s) 1-3g is/are	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address					
1)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(e)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Notice of Torfapersoon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Torfapersoon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Torfapersoon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Torfapersoon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Torfapersoon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Status							
3	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 August 2005.	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
4)	·							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  Altachme	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)	·							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	,—						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		e Examiner, Note the attach	ed Office Action of John 1 10-132.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,— ,— ,—							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution (PTO-152)	·							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7 = 1 (104.75 4							
		B/08) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
		6)	<u>·</u>					

Art Unit: 2151

### **Detailed Action**

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claims 1-10 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page 2-13, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments and intangible embodiments. As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,11-15,21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,035,423 issued to Hodges et al. (Hodges) in view of US Patent 6,484,943 issued to Reber et al. (Reber).

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 1,11,21, Hodges teaches a computer program product for controlling a source computer to update out-of-date data stored by a plurality of destination computers with updated data stored by said source computer using a computer network connecting said source computer to said plurality of destination computers, said computer program product comprising(Abstract, Figs.1-12; sending updated files to multiple computers for executing):

generating code operable to generate a plurality of push update tasks driven by said source computer, each push update task serving to transfer said updated data from said source computer to a corresponding group of destination computers via said computer network(Abstract, Figs.1-12, col.4, lines 45-59; antivirus software updates are pushed from a central server to local computers);

and execution code operable to sequentially execute said sequence of push update tasks upon said source computer to transfer said updated data from said source computer to said plurality of destination computers via said computer network(Abstract, Figs.1-12, col.4, lines 45-59, col.5, lines 30-33; antivirus software is installed on the local computers); and pushing data to computers(Abstract, Figs.1-12, col.4, lines 45-59; antivirus software updates are pushed from a central server to local computers).

Hodges however, does not explicitly teach associating code operable to associate priority data specifying a priority level with each destination computer;

to establishing code operable in dependence upon said priority data to establish a plurality of groups of destination computers such that destination computers within a

Art Unit: 2151

group of destination computers share a common priority level; and communication between server and computers are based on a certain priority level.

Reber teaches associating code operable to associate priority data specifying a priority level with each destination computer(Fig.2, col.2, lines 45-64; a priority level is assigned to each computer);

to establishing code operable in dependence upon said priority data to establish a plurality of groups of destination computers such that destination computers within a group of destination computers share a common priority level(Fig.2, col.2, lines 45-64; certain computers are assigned different priority, eg. Priority 1, or priority 2);

and communication between server and computers are based on a certain priority level (col.4, lines 39-44; communication between server and computers are established by priority value).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of, the invention to modify the teachings of Hodges of pushing updates to computers to assign priority to computers as taught by Reber in order to push updates to multiple computers based on priority to provide multiple computers with information.

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hodges and Reber in order to provide a method to prioritize interaction with computer network resources based on priority levels(Reber, col.1, lines 65-67).

As per claim 2,12, 22, wherein said source computer transfers said updated data to a group of destination computers using multicast messages that are addressed to all

Art Unit: 2151

destination computers within said group of destination computers(Hodges, Abstract, Figs.1-12, col.4, lines 45-59; pushing updates to different computers).

As per claim 3, 13,23 wherein said computer network uses an IP transmission protocol and said multicast messages are IP multicast messages(Hodges, Figs.1-12; updates are pushed based on IP address).

As per claim 4, 14,24 wherein said updated data is one or more of: malware definition data and a malware scanner program(Hodges, Abstract, col.3, lines 24-38, col.4, lines 26-30; antivirus updates protects against viruses).

As per claim 5,15,25, wherein said malware includes one or more of computer viruses, worms, Trojans, banned files, banned words and banned images (Hodges, Abstract, col.3, lines 24-38, col.4, lines 26-30; antivirus updates protects against viruses).

Claims 6-8,16-18,26-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,035,423 issued to Hodges et al. (Hodges) in view of US Patent 6,484,943 issued to Reber et al. (Reber) in further view of US Patent 6,466,932 issued to Dennis et al. (Dennis)

Hodges in view of Reber teaches all the limitations of claims 1,11,21, however does not teach as per claim 6,16,26, splitting a group of computer into different groups.

Dennis teaches splitting a group of computer into different groups(col.13, lines 32-35).

Art Unit: 2151

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hodges in view of Reber to split a group into different groups as taught by Dennis in order to apply certain policy or send certain updates to different groups.

On ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hodges, Reber, and Dennis in order to provide a method to split a group into different groups to transfer different updates.

As per claim 7,17,27, wherein said splitting allocates destination computers sharing a common network portion of said computer network to a common group(Dennis, col.13, lines 32-35; splitting a group of computers into Ae and A).

As per claim 8,18,28, wherein within said group of destination computers sharing a common priority level and being split, destination computers connected and not logged into said computer network are grouped together and split from and treated as having a lower priority level than destination computers connected and logged into said computer network(Reber, Fig.2, col.2, lines 45-64; certain computers are assigned different priority). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 9.

Claims 9,19,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,035,423 issued to Hodges et al.(Hodges) in view of US Patent 6,484,943 issued to Reber et al.(Reber) in further view of US Patent 6,907,460 issued to Loguinov et al.(Loguinov).

Art Unit: 2151

Hodges in view of Reber teaches all the limitaions of claims 1,11,21, however does not teach as per claim 9,19,29, wherein if a push update task has not completed updating all destination computers within said corresponding group of destination computers within a timeout period, then said push update task is terminated and updating of destination computers not completed is added to a subsequent push update task.

Loguinov teaches wherein if a push update task has not completed updating all destination computers within said corresponding group of destination computers within a timeout period, then said push update task is terminated and updating of destination computers not completed is added to a subsequent push update task(col.6, lines 30-43,64-67; calculating timeout and retransmitting data).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hodges in view of Reber of updating computers based on priority to have a time out period and retransmitting of data as taught by Loguinov in order to efficiently send data from a server to multiple computers.

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hodges, Reber, and Loguinov in order to provide a method to set a certain time of transmission of data and retransmitting if there is a failed transmission.

Claims 10,20,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,035,423 issued to Hodges et al.(Hodges) in view of US Patent

Art Unit: 2151

6,484,943 issued to Reber et al. (Reber) in further view of US Patent 6,907,460 issued to Loguinov et al.(Loguinov) in further view of US Patent 6,704,768 issued to Zombek et al.(Zombek).

Hodges in view of Reber in further view of Loguinov teaches all the limitations of claims 9,19,29, however does not teach as per claim 10,20,30, wherein a user alert message is generated identifying those destination computers for which updating was not completed.

Zombek teaches wherein a user alert message is generated identifying those destination computers for which updating was not completed(col.42, lines 31-40; a user's application is alerted that there was a failed transmission).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hodges in view of Reber in further view of Loquinov to alert the user when there is a failed transmission as taught by Zombek in order for the user to know if there was a successful transmission of data.

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hodges, Reber, Loguinov, and Zombek in order to provide a method of informing the user when there are failed data transmission.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

US Patent 6,574,657 issued to Dickinson, Abstract

Art Unit: 2151

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571)272-3941. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 A.M.-12 P.M. and 1 -6 P.M. Monday-Friday.

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Backhean Tiv

2151 9/9/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER